**International Multidisciplinary Conference Hosted from Manchester, England https://conferencea.org 25th Dec. 2022** 

### **SOME PROPERTIES OF THE SPACE OF PROBABILITY MEASURES**

Rakhmatullayev A. X.

Davletov D. E.

Mongiyev A.I.

e-mail: olimboy56@gmail.com, de \_davletov@mail

In this note we consider covariant functors acting in the categorie of compacts, preserving the shapes of infinite compacts, *ANR*-systems, moving compacts, shape equivalence, homotopy equivalence and  $A(N)SR$  properties of compacts. As well as, shape properties of a compact space  $X$  consisting of connectedness components  $0$  of this compact  $X$  under the action of covariant functors, are considered. And as we study the shapes equality  $\overline{ShX} = \overline{ShY}$  of infinite compacts for the space  $P(X)$  of probability measures and its subspaces.

For a compact X by  $P(X)$  denote the space of probability measures. It is known that for an infinite compact X, this space  $P(X)$  is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q. For a natural number  $n \in N$  by  $P_n(X)$  denote the set of all probability measures with no more than *n* support, i.e.  $P_n(X) = \{ \mu \in P(X) : | \text{supp}\mu | \le n \}.$  The compact  $P_n(X)$  is a convex linear combination of Dirac measures in the form

$$
\mu = m_1 \delta_{x_1} + m_2 \delta_{x_2} + \ldots + m_n \delta_{x_n}, \sum_{i=1}^n m_i = 1, m_i \ge 0, x_i \in X,
$$

 $\delta_{x_i}$  − the Dirac measure at a point  $x_i$ . By  $\delta(X)$  denote the set of all Dirac measures. Recall that the space  $P_f(X) \subset P(X)$  consists of all probability measures in the form  $\mu = m_1 \delta_{x_1} + m_2 \delta_{x_2} + ... + m_k \delta_{x_k}$  of finite support, for each of which  $m_i \ge \frac{N}{k+1}$  $\geq$ *k k*  $m_i \geq \frac{k}{l-1}$  for some *i*. For a positive integer *n* put  $P_{f,n} \equiv P_f \bigcap P_n$ . For a compact *X* we have  $P_{f,n}(X) = \{ \mu \in P_f(X) : |{\rm supp }\mu | \leq n \}; \quad P_f^c \equiv P_f \bigcap P^c, P_{f,n}^c \equiv P_f \bigcap P_n \bigcap P^c P_n^c \equiv P^c \bigcap P.$ *C f <sup>n</sup> C f <sup>n</sup> C f*  $P_f^c \equiv P_f \bigcap P_c^C, P_{f,n}^c \equiv P_f \bigcap P_n \bigcap P_c^C, P_n^c \equiv P_c \bigcap$ For the compact X by  $P^{c}(X)$  denote the set of all measures  $\mu \in P(X)$  the support of each of which lies in one of the components of the compact *X* [7].

For a space X by  $\Omega$ X denote the expansion (partition) of the space X consisting of all the connected components. If  $f: X \to Y$  is a continuous mapping, then the continuous mapping  $\Omega f : \Omega X \to \Omega Y$  is uniquely determined by condition  $\pi_Y \circ f = \Omega f \cdot \pi_X$ , where  $\pi_Y : Y \to \Omega Y$  and  $\pi$ <sub>x</sub> : *X*  $\rightarrow$   $\Omega$ *X*, i.e. we have the following diagram



**International Multidisciplinary Conference Hosted from Manchester, England https://conferencea.org 25th Dec. 2022** 

 $\Omega X \rightarrow \Omega Y$ *X Y Y f X f* Ω  $\pi_{y}$   $\downarrow$   $\pi$  $\rightarrow$ (1)

**Lemma 1.** If X is a compact ANR-space, then the map  $P^{c}(\pi_{x})$  is a homotopy equivalence. **Theorem 1.** Let X be a compact and let  $\pi$ <sub>x</sub> :  $X \rightarrow \Omega X$  be a quotient map. Then the mapping  $P^{c}(\pi_{X})$  induces a shape equivalence, i.e.  $\mathcal{S}h(P^{c}(X)) = \mathcal{S}h(\Omega X)$ .

**Definition [5]** A normal subfunctor F of the functor  $P_n$  is called locally convex if the set  $F(\tilde{n})$ is locally convex.

We say that a functor  $F_1$  is a subfunctor (respectively nadfunktorom?) of a functor  $F_2$  if there exists a natural transformation  $h: F_1 \to F_2$  that the map  $h(X): F_1(X) \to F_2(X)$  is a monomorphism (epimorphism) for each object  $X$ . By exp denote the hyperspace functor of closed subsets. For example, the identity functor  $Id$  is a subfunctor of  $exp_n$ , where  $\exp_{n}X=\{F\in \exp X:|F|\leq n\},$  and the  $\,$  th degree functor  $\,$  " is a nadfunktorom of functors  $\exp_{n}$ and  $SP<sub>G</sub><sup>n</sup>$ . A normal subfunctor F of the functor  $P<sub>n</sub>$  is uniquely determined by its value  $F(n)$ at an *n*-point space. Note that  $P_n(n)$  is the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional simplex. Any subset of the (*n* −1)-dimensional simplex  $\sigma^{n-1}$  defines a normal subfunctor of the functor  $P_n$  if it is invariant under simplicial mappings.

An example of not normal subfunctor of the functor  $P_n$  is the functor of probability measures  $P_n^c$  whose supports lie in one of components. One of the examples of locally convex subfunctors of  $P_n$ , is a functor  $SP^n = SP_{S_n}^n$  $n \equiv SP_s^{\prime \prime}$ .

**Corollary 1.** If for compacts X and Y the equality  $|\Omega X| = |\Omega Y| = \aleph_0$  holds, then  $Sh(P^c(X)) = Sh(P^c(Y))$  and  $ShP(X) = ShP(Y)$ , where  $|Z|$  is the cardinality of a set Z. By  $M_{\Omega}$  we denote the class of all compacts X such that  $\Omega X$  is metrizable. From corollary it follows that if  $X, Y \in M_{\Omega}$ , then  $\Omega X$  and  $\Omega Y$  have a countable dense set of isolated points [4]. **Corollary 2.** If  $X, Y \in M_\Omega$ , then either  $Sh(P^c(X)) \geq Sh(P^c(Y))$  or  $Sh(P^c(X)) \leq Sh(P^c(Y))$ . Therefore, if *X* and  $QY$ are infinite, then  $Sh(P<sup>c</sup>(X)) = Sh(P<sup>c</sup>(Y))$ , i.e.  $Sh(P^c(X)) \geq Sh(P^c(Y))$  and  $Sh(P^c(X)) \leq Sh(P^c(Y)).$ 



**International Multidisciplinary Conference Hosted from Manchester, England https://conferencea.org 25<sup>th</sup> Dec. 2022** 

Remark. In [6] it is shown that the Borsuk's definition of shapes of compacts is equivalent to the shapes of *ANR*-systems.

**Lemma 2.** For any compact X we have  $\left| \Omega P_f(X) \right| = \left| \Omega X \right|$ .

Let us note that for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X$  between sets  $(r_f^{-1})(x)$  and  $(r_f^{-1})(y)$  there is a one-one correspondence, i.e. to an arbitrary point

 $\mu_{\mathbf{x}} \in (P_f^{-1})(X)$  we assign  $\mu_{\mathbf{y}} \in (P_f^{\mathbf{x}})^{-1}$ , where

$$
\mu_{x} = m_{0} \delta_{x_{0}} + m_{1} \delta_{x_{1}} + \ldots + m_{k} \delta_{x_{k}}, \mu_{y} = m_{0} \delta_{y_{0}} + \ldots + m_{k} \delta_{x_{k}}.
$$

In the case of the infinite compacts X and Y the spaces  $P(X)$  and  $P(Y)$  are homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube Q. If A and B are  $Z$ -sets lying in the compacts  $P(X)$  and  $P(Y)$ , then by Chapman's theorem [1],  $ShA = ShB$  if and only if  $P(X) \setminus A$  is homeomorphic to  $P(Y) \setminus B$ . In [5,7] it is shown that the subspaces  $F(X)$  and  $F(Y)$  are Z-sets in the compacts  $P(X)$  and  $P(Y)$ , where  $F = P_f(X)P_{f,n}(X)P_{f,n}(X)P_f^c(X)$ *f C*  $f = P_f(X)$ ,  $P_{f,n}(X)$ ,  $P_f^c(X)$ ,  $P_f^c(X)$ . Moreover, it was noted that this space X is a strong deformation retract for  $F(X)$ . So the following is valid.

**Theorem 2.** For infinite compacts X and Y the following conditions are equivalent: 1.  $ShX = ShY$ ;

 $2. P(X) \setminus P_{f}(X); P(Y) \setminus P_{f}(Y);$ 

3.  $P(X) \setminus \delta(X)$ ;  $P(Y) \setminus \delta(Y)$ ;

4.  $P(X) \setminus F(X)$ ;  $P(Y) \setminus F(Y)$ , where  $F = P_{f,n}^c, P_f^c$  $F = P_{f,n}^c, P_f^c$ .

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that X and Y are elements of  $M_0$ ,  $X \in M_0$  and  $Y \in M_0$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

1.  $\text{Sh}(\Omega X) = \text{Sh}(\Omega Y);$ 

 $P(X) \setminus P^c(X); P(Y) \setminus P^c(Y).$ 

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that X and Y are elements of  $M_{\Omega}$ . Then  $Sh(\Omega X) = Sh(\Omega Y)$  if and only if  $ShX = Sh(\Omega X)$ .

It is known that from the inequality  $ShX \leq ShY$  it follows  $Sh(\Omega X) \leq Sh(\Omega Y)$ . In particular, the equality  $ShX = ShY$  implies  $Sh(\Omega X) = Sh(\Omega Y)$ .

Now let  $Sh(\Omega X) = Sh(\Omega Y)$ . From the fact that the compacts  $\Omega X$  and  $\Omega Y$  are zero-dimensional and metrizable, and by Mardeschicha Segal theorem [2],  $\Omega X$  and  $\Omega Y$  are homeomorphic. If for any  $y \in \Omega X$  the set  $\pi_y^{-1}(y)$  has the trivial shape, then by Theorem 7 [3] we have  $ShY = Sh(\Omega X)$ ; By virtue of the zero-dimensionality and equality  $ShY = Sh(\Omega X)$  it follows  $Y$ ;  $\Omega X$ ;  $\Omega Y$ .



**International Multidisciplinary Conference Hosted from Manchester, England https://conferencea.org 25th Dec. 2022** 

Note that in this case  $ShX = ShY$  and  $X$ ;  $Y$ , i.e.  $ShX = Sh(\Omega X)$  is equivalent to  $ShX = ShY$ .

**Corollary 3.** A) The space  $P^{c}(X)$  is an ASR if and only if X is connected;

B)  $P^{c}(X)$  is an *ANSR* if and only if X has finitely many connected components.

**Theorem 5.** For any infinite zero-dimensional compacts X and Y the followings are true: a) If  $ShX = ShY$ , then  $P_n(X)$ ;  $P_n(Y)$ ;

b) if  $ShX = ShY$ , then  $P(X) \setminus P_n(X)$ ,  $P(Y) \setminus P_n(Y)$ ;

c)  $ShP_n(X) = ShP_n(Y)$  if and only if  $P(X) \setminus P_n(X)$ ;  $P(Y) \setminus P_n(Y)$ ;

d)  $ShF(X) = ShF(Y)$  if and only if  $P(X) \setminus F(X)$ ;  $P(Y) \setminus F(Y)$ , where F are locally convex subfunctors of the functor  $P_n$ ;

e)  $ShX = ShY$  if and only if  $P(X) \setminus \delta(X)$ ,  $P(Y) \setminus \delta(Y)$ .

**Theorem 6.** For any infinite zero-dimensional compacts  $X$  and  $Y$  the following conditions are equivalent:

1. 
$$
ShX = ShY
$$
 ;

2. 
$$
ShF(X) = ShF(Y)
$$
, where  $F = P_{f,n}, P_{f,n}^C, P_{f,n}^C$ ;

 $3. X$ ;  $Y$ ;

4.  $P(X) \setminus F(X); P(Y) \setminus F(Y);$ 

**Theorem 7.** For any infinite compacts X and Y we have:

A) if  $ShX = ShY$ , then  $P(X) \setminus P_n(X)$ ;  $P(Y) \setminus P_n(Y)$  for any  $n \in N$ ;

B) if  $ShX = ShY$ , then  $P(X) \setminus F(X)$ ;  $P(Y) \setminus F(Y)$ , where *F* are locally convex subfunctors of the functors  $P_n$ .

**Theorem 8.** For any infinite compacts  $X \in M_\Omega$  and  $Y \in M_\Omega$  we have:

A)  $ShX = ShY$  if and only if  $P(X) \setminus P_n(X)$ ;  $P(Y) \setminus P_n(Y)$ ;

B)  $ShX = ShY$  if and only if  $P(X) \setminus F(X)$ ;  $P(Y) \setminus F(Y)$ .

### **Reference**s

1. K. Borsuk Shape theory. Mir, 1976, r.187.

2. S.Mardesic, J.Segal Shapes of compacta and ANR-systems. Fund. Math. LXXII, 1971, pp 41-59.

3. Y.Kodama, S.Spiez, T.Watanabe On shapes of hyperspaces. Fund.Math. 1978, pp, 11, 59- 67.

4. A. Pelczynski A remark on spaces for zerodimensional X. Bull. Acad. Polon. Scr.Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys, 19, 1965, pp. 85-89.



**International Multidisciplinary Conference Hosted from Manchester, England https://conferencea.org 25<sup>th</sup> Dec. 2022** 

5. VV Fedorchuk, Probability measures in topology. Advances Mat. Science 1991, T.46, 1. 41-80.

6. S.Mardesic, J.Segal Equivalence of the Borsuk and the ANR-system approach to shapes. Fund. Math. LXXII, 1971, pp. 61-66.

7. T.F.Zhuraev Some geometric properties of the function of probability measures P and its subfunctors. M.MGU, cand.disser. 1989, 90, p.

