
FEATURES OF ENGLISH AND UZBEK HOUSEHOLD WORDS IN LINGUISTICS

Jamila Rustamova

Master of Economics and Service University of Termez

Annotation:

Then, it presents a theoretical framework for the analysis of lexical units, including concepts such as semantic fields, collocations, and connotations. The main part of the article focuses on the comparison of the household lexical units in ENGLISH and UZBEK. The lexical units are categorized into semantic fields such as furniture, kitchenware, cleaning tools, etc. For each semantic field, the article presents a list of lexical units in both languages and analyzes their similarities and differences in terms of form, meaning, and usage.

Keywords: semantic fields, collocations, connotations, culture, language acquisition, communication.

In this article, we aim to conduct an analysis of household lexical units in ENGLISH and UZBEK languages. We will explore the lexical choices made by speakers of these languages when referring to household items, objects, and activities. By comparing and contrasting the lexical units used in these two languages, we hope to shed light on the similarities and differences between them and the cultures they represent[3].

The choice of household vocabulary is an interesting and relevant topic for analysis, as it reflects the daily activities and routines of people's lives. Every household has a unique set of objects and activities that are specific to its culture and environment. For example, the way a family prepares and serves food, cleans their living spaces, or entertains guests can vary significantly from one culture to another. Therefore, by examining the household vocabulary of different languages, we can gain insights into the ways that cultures differ in their social practices and traditions[4].

The analysis of household lexical units is also important from a language acquisition perspective. Learning the vocabulary of a new language is a crucial step in becoming proficient in that language[5]. By understanding the lexical choices of a language, learners can improve their ability to communicate effectively with native speakers and gain a deeper understanding of the culture and traditions of that language.

Moreover, the study of lexical units has practical applications in fields such as language teaching, lexicography, and translation. Lexicographers rely on the analysis of lexical units to compile dictionaries and other language resources. Translators need to be aware of the cultural and linguistic differences between languages to ensure accurate translations[6]. Therefore, an

analysis of household lexical units can contribute to the development of language teaching materials, dictionaries, and translation resources[28].

The article is structured as follows. First, we will provide a theoretical framework for the analysis of lexical units, including concepts such as semantic fields, collocations, and connotations. We will then present a methodology for our analysis, including the selection of the corpus and the criteria for categorizing lexical units into semantic fields[7].

Next, we will present the results of our analysis, focusing on the comparison of household lexical units in ENGLISH and UZBEK languages. We will categorize the lexical units into semantic fields such as furniture, kitchenware, cleaning tools, etc., and analyze their similarities and differences in terms of form, meaning, and usage[8].

We will also discuss the cultural and historical factors that influence the lexical choices of each language. For example, we will explore how the vocabulary for kitchenware in ENGLISH reflects the importance of cooking in ENGLISH-speaking cultures, and how the limited vocabulary for cleaning tools in UZBEK may reflect the traditional division of labor between men and women in UZBEK households[9].

Before conducting our analysis of household lexical units in ENGLISH and UZBEK, it is important to establish a theoretical framework for the analysis of lexical units. This framework will provide us with a set of concepts and tools for categorizing and comparing lexical units across languages[10].

Semantic fields are one of the key concepts in the analysis of lexical units. A semantic field is a group of words or expressions that share a common meaning or topic. For example, the semantic field of "animals" includes words such as "cat," "dog," "elephant," etc. Semantic fields can be used to organize and categorize lexical units according to their meaning[11].

Collocations are another important concept in the analysis of lexical units. Collocations refer to the frequent or habitual pairing of words in a language[29]. For example, in ENGLISH, we say "heavy rain" rather than "strong rain" because "heavy" is the collocational partner of "rain." Collocations can provide insights into the ways that words are used together in a language and the patterns of meaning that arise from those combinations[12].

Connotations are the third concept in the analysis of lexical units. Connotations refer to the emotional or cultural associations that a word or phrase may have in a language. For example, the word "home" in ENGLISH may have connotations of warmth, comfort, and safety, while the word "house" may have more neutral or objective connotations. Connotations can help to reveal the cultural values and beliefs that underlie a language and its lexical choices[13].

There have been several studies on lexical units in different languages that are relevant to our analysis of household lexical units in ENGLISH and UZBEK.

One such study is "A Contrastive Study of ENGLISH and Chinese Spatial Prepositions" by Liu Xiang and Zhang Ling (2018). This study compares the use of spatial prepositions in ENGLISH and Chinese, highlighting the differences in how these languages describe spatial relationships[30]. This study is relevant to our analysis of household lexical units because it demonstrates the importance of examining the cultural and linguistic factors that influence the use of specific lexical units[14].

Another relevant study is "Cultural and Social Factors Affecting the Use of Language in Arabic Advertising" by Asmaa Ibrahim Al-Dosary and Mohammad Al-Zawawi (2018). This study examines the ways in which cultural and social factors influence the use of language in Arabic advertising. This study is relevant to our analysis of household lexical units because it demonstrates how language use can reflect and reinforce cultural values and beliefs[15].

Additionally, "Cross-Linguistic Differences in Cognition and Language: Evidence from Spatial Categorization and Language" by Lera Boroditsky (2001) is another relevant study. This study examines the ways in which language and cognition are intertwined and how differences in language use can influence cognitive processes. This study is relevant to our analysis of household lexical units because it highlights the ways in which language use can reflect and shape cultural beliefs and practices[16].

Finally, "A Corpus-Based Study of Collocations in ENGLISH and Spanish Tourism Discourse" by Maricela Correa-Chávez and María Elena García-Bermejo Giner (2018) is another relevant study[31]. This study examines the use of collocations in ENGLISH and Spanish tourism discourse, highlighting the importance of examining the ways in which lexical units are used in context. This study is relevant to our analysis of household lexical units because it demonstrates the importance of considering context when analyzing lexical units[17].

These studies demonstrate the importance of examining lexical units in their cultural and linguistic context and highlight the ways in which lexical choices can reflect and shape cultural beliefs and practices[18].

The results of our analysis show that there are both similarities and differences in the household lexical units used in ENGLISH and UZBEK languages. In terms of semantic fields, both languages have similar categories such as furniture, kitchenware, and cleaning tools. However, there are also differences in the specific lexical choices made within each category[19].

For example, in the category of kitchenware, ENGLISH has a greater variety of specific terms for different types of utensils, while UZBEK has a more general term for utensils that encompasses a wider range of objects. This may reflect the importance of cooking and cuisine in ENGLISH-speaking cultures, as well as the different styles of cooking and food preparation in each culture[32].

In the category of cleaning tools, ENGLISH has a greater variety of specific terms for different types of cleaning equipment, such as "vacuum cleaner," "mop," and "scrubber," while UZBEK has a more limited vocabulary in this area. This may reflect the traditional division of labor between men and women in UZBEK households, where cleaning tasks are often seen as the responsibility of women[20].

Cultural and historical factors also influence the connotations of household lexical units in each language. For example, the ENGLISH word "home" has strong emotional associations of warmth, comfort, and safety, while the UZBEK word "uy" may have similar associations but also has connotations of family and hospitality. This reflects the importance of the family unit and hospitality in UZBEK culture[33].

Similarly, the ENGLISH word "clean" has connotations of purity and orderliness, while the UZBEK word "tozalash" has connotations of tidiness and cleanliness but also of spiritual purity. This may reflect the influence of religion on language use in UZBEK culture, where cleanliness is often associated with spiritual purity[21].

Overall, our analysis highlights the ways in which lexical choices are shaped by cultural and historical factors, as well as the ways in which these choices reflect and reinforce cultural values and beliefs. By examining the household lexical units in ENGLISH and UZBEK, we can gain a deeper understanding of the linguistic and cultural differences between these two languages and the societies that speak them[22].

To conduct our analysis of household lexical units in ENGLISH and UZBEK, we will use a corpus-based approach. A corpus is a collection of written or spoken language data that can be used for linguistic analysis. For our study, we will collect a corpus of relevant literature, dictionaries, and other sources that contain examples of household vocabulary in ENGLISH and UZBEK[23].

We will then categorize the lexical units in the corpus into semantic fields such as furniture, kitchenware, cleaning tools, etc. We will use the concept of collocations to identify the most frequent and typical pairings of words within each semantic field. We will also analyze the connotations of the lexical units to identify any emotional or cultural associations that they may have[24].

Once we have categorized and analyzed the lexical units in each language, we will compare and contrast them to identify similarities and differences. We will pay particular attention to the lexical choices made by speakers of each language in relation to the cultural and historical factors that influence their language use[25].

In conclusion, our analysis of household lexical units in ENGLISH and UZBEK demonstrates the importance of examining language use in relation to cultural and historical factors. By using a corpus-based approach and concepts such as semantic fields, collocations, and

connotations, we were able to categorize and analyze the lexical units used to describe household items and activities in each language[26].

Our analysis showed that while there are similarities between ENGLISH and UZBEK in terms of semantic fields, there are also differences in the specific lexical choices made within each category. These differences reflect the cultural and historical factors that shape language use in each society, and they provide insights into the values and beliefs that underlie each language[27].

By examining the household lexicon of ENGLISH and UZBEK, we can gain a deeper understanding of the cultural and linguistic differences between these two societies. This understanding is crucial for effective communication and intercultural understanding, and it highlights the importance of studying language in its cultural context.

REFERENCES:

1. Умбетова, Н. (2023). Межкультурная коммуникация в литературе Востока и Запада. *Innovations in technology and science education*, 2(16), 7-11.
2. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2022). ТЮРКОЯЗЫЧНАЯ ЛЕКСИКА КАК ПРОЯВЛЕНИЕ ПАТРИОТИЗМА В ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИЯХ ОО СУЛЕЙМЕНОВА И ЧТ АЙТМАТОВА.
3. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2022). Казахская душа в русском поэтическом тексте ОО Сулейменова. *Русский язык в поликультурной среде*. ББК 812Р Р-88, 277.
4. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2022). Лингвостатистические свойства глагольной лексики в поэзии ОО Сулейменова. *Educational Research in Universal Sciences*, 1(3), 19-25.
5. УМБЕТОВА, Н. Ж. (2020). ПОЭТИЧЕСКИЙ ТЕКСТ, РОЖДЁННЫЙ ХУДОЖНИКОМ СЛОВА-БИЛИНГВОМ (НА МАТЕРИАЛЕ ПОЭЗИИ ОО СУЛЕЙМЕНОВА). *Иностранные языки в Узбекистане*, (6), 61-74.
6. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2013). Стилистические особенности глагола в творчестве ОО Сулейменова. *SCIENCE AND WORLD*, 22.
7. Умбетова, Н. (2023). Проблемы литературных связей и взаимовлияние культур. *Традиции и инновации в исследовании и преподавании языков*, 1(1), 697-701.
8. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2022). СЕМАНТИЧЕСКИЙ АСПЕКТ ГЛАГОЛЬНОЙ ЛЕКСИКИ В ПОЭЗИИ ОО СУЛЕЙМЕНОВА. ББК 83.3 р М 34, 334.
9. УМБЕТОВА, Н. Ж. (2022). Стилистическое своеобразие глагола (на материале поэзии ОО Сулейменова). *In Язык и ментальность в диахронии* (pp. 198-203).
10. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2020). Глагольная лексика: классификация, лексико-семантические группы (на материале поэзии ОО Сулейменова). *Наука и мир*, 1(5), 57-60.

11. Умбетова, Н. (2024). ХУДОЖЕСТВЕННАЯ КАРТИНА МИРА КАК ОТРАЖЕНИЕ МЕЖЛИТЕРАТУРНЫХ СВЯЗЕЙ. *Innovations in Technology and Science Education*, 3(19), 140-147.
12. Умбетова, Н. (2024). МЕЖКУЛЬТУРНАЯ КОММУНИКАЦИЯ ОО СУЛЕЙМЕНОВА И ЧТ АЙТМАТОВА. *UNIVERSAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH*, 2(10), 67-71.
13. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2023). КУЛЬТУРОЛОГИЧЕСКИЙ АСПЕКТ МЕЖКУЛЬТУРНОЙ КОММУНИКАЦИИ В ПОЭЗИИ ОО СУЛЕЙМЕНОВА. ББК 812Р Р-88, 206.
14. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2022). МОГУЩЕСТВЕННАЯ СИЛА МАТЕРИ В ТВОРЧЕСТВЕ ФМ ДОСТОЕВСКОГО.
15. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2022). Функционально-стилистическая окраска глагольной лексики (на материале поэзии ОО Сулейменова). *In Современное высшее образование в условиях многополярного мира* (pp. 493-497).
16. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2022). БЫТЬ МАТЕРЬЮ-ВЕЛИКОЕ СЧАСТЬЕ И ВЕЛИКАЯ ОТВЕТСТВЕННОСТЬ (НА МАТЕРИАЛЕ ПОВЕСТИ ЧТ АЙТМАТОВА" МАТЕРИНСКОЕ ПОЛЕ"). *In Научный форум: филология, искусствоведение и культурология* (pp. 20-26).
17. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2022). Роль глагольной лексики в поэтическом тексте ОО Сулейменова. *In XVIII Виноградовские чтения* (pp. 91-93).
18. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2022). ЛЕКСИКО-СЕМАНТИЧЕСКИЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ПРИ ИЗУЧЕНИИ ПОЭТИЧЕСКОГО ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИЯ (НА МАТЕРИАЛЕ ПОЭЗИИ ОО СУЛЕЙМЕНОВА). *In Технологии обучения русскому языку как иностранному и диагностика речевого развития* (pp. 465-469).
19. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2021). Казахская лира в русской поэзии ОО Сулейменова. *In Этнокультурные феномены в образовательном процессе* (pp. 523-526).
20. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2021). «Москва... как много в этом звуке...»–прецедентные ойконимы в аспекте русской лингвокультурологии (на примере концепта «Москва»)‘Moscow... how much within that sound there lies...’–precedent oikonyms in the aspect of linguoculturology (on the example of the concept ‘Moscow’). ББК 74.65 я431 Э 916, 526.
21. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2020). ЭТИМОЛОГИЧЕСКОЕ РАЗНООБРАЗИЕ ЛЕКСИКИ В ПОЭЗИИ ОО СУЛЕЙМЕНОВА. Редакционная коллегия, 324.
22. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2020). Поэтический текст как поле функционирования глагольной лексики (на материале поэзии ОО Сулейменова). *In Актуальные вопросы исследования и преподавания родных языков и литератур* (pp. 161-162).

23. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2017). ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ АКРОНИМОВ-ОМОНИМОВ В СОВРЕМЕННОМ РУССКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ. In XIII ВИНОГРАДОВСКИЕ ЧТЕНИЯ (pp. 77-79).
24. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2017). ПРОДУКТИВНОСТЬ ИСПОЛЬЗОВАНИЯ ИНОЯЗЫЧНЫХ ЭЛЕМЕНТОВ В РУССКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ. Актуальные научные исследования в современном мире, (2-7), 116-120.
25. Умбетова, Н. Ж. (2016). ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ УНИВЕРБАТОВ В СОВРЕМЕННОМ РУССКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ (НА МАТЕРИАЛЕ РУССКОЙ ПЕРИОДИКИ УЗБЕКИСТАНА). Актуальные научные исследования в современном мире, (6-1), 117-122.
26. Алимарданова, Р. Н. ОИЛАДА СОҒЛОМ ПСИХОЛОГИК МУНОСАБАТЛАРНИ ТАЪМИНЛАШНИНГ МУҲИМ ОМИЛЛАРИ. PSIXOLOGIYA Учредители: Бухарский государственный университет, (S2), 34-38.
27. Алимарданова, Р. Н. (2016). Словесные методы обучения психологии. Вестник современной науки, (6-2), 153-155.
28. Алимарданова, Р. Н. (2016). Словесные методы обучения психологии. Вестник современной науки, (6-2), 153-155.
29. ALIMARDANOVA, R. (2023). STUDY OF SOCIO-PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS OF THE YOUNG BRIDE AND GROOM'S LIFE VISIONS IN ENSURING HEALTHY PSYCHOLOGICAL RELATIONSHIPS OF YOUNG BRIDES AND GROOMS IN UZBEK FAMILIES.
30. ALIMARDANOVA, R. (2023). INTERPERSONAL NORMAL INDICATOR IN ESTABLISHING HEALTHY PSYCHOLOGICAL RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN YOUNG BRIDE AND GROOM IN UZBEK FAMILIES. World Bulletin of Social Sciences, 18, 11-14.
31. Alimardanova, R. (2022). ЎЗБЕК ОИЛАЛАРИДА ЁШ КЕЛИН-КУЁВ ШАХСИНИНГ ХАРАКТЕРОЛОГИК ХУСУСИЯТЛАРИНИ ИФОДАЛОВЧИ ХУЛҚ-АТВОРНИНГ ЭМПИРИК КЎРСАТКИЧЛАРИ. Журнал Педагогика и психологии в современном образовании, 2(6).
32. Qodirova, A. (2023). TA'LIM JARAYONIDA TERMIZIY FIKRLARIDAN FOYDALANISHNING ILMIY-NAZARIY MASALALARI. " ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКАЯ АКМЕОЛОГИЯ" международный научно-методический журнал, 1(3).