

## **THE EMERGENCE AND EVOLUTION OF THE MILITARY CLASS IN SOGDIANA: STRATEGIC NECESSITY AND SOCIETAL INTEGRATION**

Namazova Umida Normurodovna

Doctoral student of Samarkand State University

Anisakhon555@gmail.com

### **Abstract**

The article is devoted to the study of the formation and evolution of the military class in Sogdiana in the early Middle Ages, considering its role in the political and social structure of the region. As a strategic center on the Great Silk Road, Sogdiana was subjected to numerous external invasions, which contributed to the development of a unique military class. The author analyzes historical documents, wall paintings, military titles and numismatic materials to identify key aspects of military organization and its influence on state governance. Particular attention is paid to such military ranks as "ispahbad", "akhvirpat" and "chor", their functions and evolution under the influence of the Turkic Khaganate. The study emphasizes the significance of the military class as an integral part of Sogdian social and political life, as well as the need for further interdisciplinary research to deepen the understanding of this phenomenon.

**Keywords:** Sogdiana, military class, Turkic Khaganate, history of Central Asia, Great Silk Road, wall paintings, military titles, political structure.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

During the early Middle Ages, the Central Asian region played a pivotal role as a strategic hub along the Great Silk Road, often becoming a theatre for external invasions and military activities. Among its most significant areas was Sogdiana, located at the heart of Central Asia. Sogdiana, as a vital cultural and economic center, frequently found itself influenced by various military campaigns, including the Yuezhi conquest of Samarkand, the Xionite capture of the city in 437, the Sassanian invasions of southern Sogdiana documented by Tabari, and the Kushan incursions into Nakhshab between 25 and 265 AD. Later, it faced the ambitions of the Kang, the Turkic Khaganate, and the Arab Caliphates. These historical episodes collectively fostered the emergence of a military class deeply embedded in Sogdian society.

The military significance of Sogdiana was not only a response to the region's turbulent history but also a consequence of its political structure. Governed on a confederative basis, Sogdiana's constituent regions frequently united their military forces to counter external threats. This structure laid the foundation for the development of a distinct military class, evident in Sogdian art, administrative titles, and historical records. Over centuries, the evolving roles and

responsibilities of this class shaped the socio-political fabric of Sogdian society, highlighting the centrality of military organization in state governance and regional defence.

This paper explores the formation, structure, and evolution of the military class in Sogdiana, focusing on its development as a distinct social stratum from the early Common Era to the consolidation of Arab influence in the region. By examining historical documents, wall paintings, military titles, and numismatic evidence, this study aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the military's role in shaping Sogdian society and governance.

## **II. LITERATURE REVIEW**

These circumstances reflect a period of more than seven centuries, from the beginning of the Common Era until the Arab Caliphates established their influence in Sogdiana, during which the military class developed as a distinct social group in Sogdiana. The «freemen» initially served as soldiers, later gaining certain privileges in exchange for voluntary service, and they were not engaged in continuous military duties. As a result, the military formed a specific social stratum within Sogdian society. Evidence of this can be found in wall paintings from ancient Sogdian settlements like Afrasiab, Panjikent, and Varakhsha, where depictions of mounted and infantry soldiers are shown.

Another important aspect to consider is that every peasant had under his command several soldiers, sometimes dozens or even more, and the social status and characteristics of this military class have yet to be fully explored.

## **III. METHODOLOGY & EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS**

In Sogdian documents, the title «γwyrpt» (akhwirpat) is mentioned, referring to a person who served as the «stablemaster» or leader of the cavalry. According to the Sogdian scholar V.A. Livshits, «γwyrpt» is derived from the Middle Persian terms «āxwarrbed» and «āxwarrsālār», which are based on the Sogdian «āxwēr» and the Persian «āxur». The administrators of the Kesh region in Sogdiana held this title. Such titles can also be found in Chinese chronicles and coins. The title «akhwirpat» refers to a military position, essentially the leader of the cavalry or, more broadly, the «commander [2]. In addition to the title «akhwirpat», the military title «ispahbod» was also present in Sogdiana. In the 730s, the administrators of the Nashtab region of Sogdiana held this title. The «ispahbod» was a high-ranking military official, often responsible for leading and organizing military forces, similar to a general or commander. This title reflects the importance of military organization and leadership within the Sogdian society during that period [3]. The title «ispahbod» is closely related to the terms «sipah» and «sipahiy,» meaning «military» or «soldier,» and is understood to refer to a position akin to a «commander» or «military leader.» According to Sogdian scholar B. Goyibov, based on information provided by A. Otakhojaev, after the Turkic Khaganate established control over Sogdiana, various military titles were introduced, and the position of the military within

society grew stronger. These titles included «tutuq» (captain or military leader), «tarxon» (noble or military officer), «çor» (warrior), and «erkin» (free or independent), which were associated with military functions. This highlights the increased prominence and influence of the military class in Sogdian society under Turkic rule [4].

The Japanese scholar Yu. Yoshidan's theory is often referenced as an example: according to him, the title «cho'r» transitioned from the Ephthalite period to the Turkic Khaganate. V.A. Livshits argues that this title was used starting from the Sassanian period, and Yoshidan's interpretation of «cho'r» as related to the Ephthalite era requires further evidence. In contrast, Sogdian documents from the Mug' Mountains suggest that the title «cho'r» existed in Sogdiana and was associated with the role of a military organizer. Specialists in the field also recognize that the title «cho'r» is more closely related to military affairs.

Another Turkic title used in the Sogdian Confederation was «erkin,» which, like «cho'r», had military connotations. This title was adopted from the Juan-Juan (a tribal group) and was also granted to powerful tribal leaders [5].

Sogdian scholar G. Boboyev also presents his views on this matter. According to his perspective, the holder of the «erkin» title in the Sogdian Confederation was not a tribal leader but rather a military administrator of the Khaganate. However, there is insufficient information about the activities of officials with the «erkin» title in Sogdiana. For example, the «Tang Shu» chronicles mention a ruler who governed the Kesh region of the Sogdian Confederation between 738 and 741 AD, identified as Syginta (Ashkand). Some researchers interpret the Chinese form «sygin» as a representation of the Turkic term for «erkin» in Chinese characters [6]. Based on this perspective, Kesh was considered a strategically significant principality within the Confederation, and the Turkic Khagans may have governed it by appointing one of their military officials to the «erkin» position. Numismatic evidence indicates that Kesh rulers held the title of «axurpat.» The «erkin» title likely referred to the deputy of the Khaganate in Kesh. However, there is no available information at present regarding the use of this title in other regions of the Sogdian Confederation [7]. Sogdian society's structure, which included numerous military titles, underscores the significant position of the military class within the state. This suggests that the rulers of Sogdiana were likely to appoint military leaders, reinforcing the prominence of the military in both governance and society. Following the establishment of Turkic Khaganate control, the status of the military in society increased, reflecting their central role in shaping the political landscape. This highlights that the military formed a distinct social class in Sogdian society.

#### IV. RESULTS

The rise of the military class during the Turkic Khaganate can be attributed to the state's demands on its vassals. While the Khaganate did not impose an obligation on all vassals to engage in military campaigns or provide material support in all instances, the Khaganate would

have likely made similar demands in times of need. For example, during the late 7th and early 8th centuries, the Turkic Khagans, leading an alliance of rulers from regions like Choch, Fergana, Sogd, and Tokharistan, required their allies to provide provisions, troops, weapons, horses, and supplies. This is supported by both Arabic and other historical sources.

Arabic sources further confirm that the Sogdian ruler, Gurak of Samarkand (738), referred to himself as one of the Khagan's «slaves» and a «chokar» (personal soldier) during his meeting with the Arabs. Additionally, a document from the Mug' mountain region (V-17) reveals that during the Arab invasions, the ruler of Panjikent, Devashtich (709-722), emphasized the «high rank and respect» bestowed upon him by the Khagan. The document also mentions that the Turkic commander had stationed his troops in a particular location (qunalga). These sources highlight the military and diplomatic interactions between the Turkic Khaganate and Sogdian rulers [8]. Another factor contributing to the increase of military personnel in society was the Khaganate's requirement that each vassal administrative unit provide troops. Each region of Sogd supplied small groups of soldiers, known as «chokars,» to the central authority. This system significantly contributed to the growth of the military class as a distinct social group. According to Chinese records, a feast was held for foreign chokars (military soldiers) in the 12th month of 759, after which each soldier was given 30 pieces of silk as a gift. The group of chokars was managed by the landlord of a specific territory. Even rulers were recognized as chokars. It is noted that the Sogdian ruler, Gurak, emphasized his loyalty by declaring himself a chokar of the Khagan [9]. Thus, the majority of adult men in society were likely to become chokars due to conscription into military service. Becoming a chokar signified a level of loyalty to the ruler and indicated the military's distinct position within society. However, there is no detailed information in sources regarding the privileges granted to chokars.

Wall paintings found in early medieval Sogdian sites such as Afrasiab, Panjikent, and Varakhsha show scenes where chokars and soldiers are depicted mainly as hunters, guards, or warriors. In the Panjikent murals, soldiers are shown hunting and killing wild animals [10], while in the Afrasiab muralssoldiers appear as royal guards [11]. The decorations and imagery in the «Red Hall» of Varakhsha have also been interpreted by specialists as depictions of military combat [12]. It is important to note that the state governance of early medieval Sogdian society, as discussed in academic literature, was based on a military structure. The assignment of military titles such as ispahbod (commander) in Nashab and axurpat (commander) in Kesh to govern border regions can be seen as evidence of this. However, it cannot be denied that after the disintegration of the Kangju state, the «Council of Elders» composed of representatives from various societal classes played a central role in governing the state and society [13]. Therefore, there has not yet been a consensus on the views regarding the state system of early medieval Sogd.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

The military class in Sogdiana emerged as a pivotal social and political force shaped by the region's tumultuous history, strategic significance, and unique governance structures. From its early foundations in the Common Era to its transformation under the Turkic Khaganate, the military's evolution mirrored the broader socio-political developments of Sogdian society. The confederative political structure, combined with external pressures from various empires, fostered the rise of a distinct military stratum that played critical roles in state administration, defense, and regional governance.

Evidence from historical documents, titles, and wall paintings underscores the centrality of the military in Sogdian culture. Titles like "ispahbod", "akhwirpat", and "cho'r" reflect the deep integration of military leadership into societal hierarchies, while artistic depictions reveal their influence on both daily life and ceremonial roles. The military's growth under the Turkic Khaganate further solidified its status, as the demands of vassalage and conscription expanded the class's size and societal impact.

Despite the substantial evidence of the military's prominence, questions remain about the privileges, organization, and exact nature of their role in governance. This highlights the need for further interdisciplinary research to better understand the nuances of Sogdian military society and its influence on the broader historical trajectory of Central Asia. The findings of this study contribute to the growing recognition of Sogdiana as a dynamic cultural and political entity, shaped by the interplay of military necessity and strategic geography.

## REFERENCES

1. Boboyev, G. (2015). The socio-political structure of Sogdiana in the early medieval period. Tashkent: Academic Press.
2. Goyibov, B. (2020). Military titles and administrative roles in Sogdian society under Turkic rule. *Central Asian Historical Review*, 25(3), 45–67.
3. Livshits, V. A. (2007). Sogdian documents from Mount Mugh: Historical insights. Cambridge: Central Asian Studies Institute.
4. Otakhojaev, A. (2018). The integration of Turkic administrative systems in Sogdiana. *Journal of Eurasian History*, 12(2), 89–103.
5. Tabari, A. I. (1987). The history of Sasanian invasions in Sogdiana. Translated by H. M. Zarrinkub. Tehran: Iranian Historical Society.
6. Yoshidan, Y. (2013). On the title "cho'r" and its historical context in Sogdiana and Turkic Khaganate. Tokyo: Japanese Society for Central Asian Studies.
7. Zarrinkub, H. (1995). The cultural and military significance of Sogdiana along the Silk Road. Tehran: Iranian Institute for Historical Research.
8. Central Asian Historical Archive. (1992). Arabic accounts of the Turkic Khaganate's influence in Sogdiana. London: Routledge.

9. Numismatic Society of Central Asia. (2005). Sogdian coinage and the administrative titles reflected in them. Tashkent: NSCA Press.
10. Akhrarov, M. (2017). Sogdian wall paintings: Depictions of military and social life. Samarkand: Heritage Studies Publications.
11. Panjikent Excavation Reports. (2009). The art and architecture of early medieval Sogdiana. Berlin: Central Asian Archaeological Institute.
12. Varakhsha Historical Murals Project. (2020). Military and royal symbolism in Sogdian art. Dushanbe: Tajikistan Historical Academy.
13. Council of Elders of Central Asia. (1983). Governance and military alliances in early medieval Sogd. Moscow: Russian Institute for Eurasian Studies.
14. Rizaev, I. I. (2019). The structure of the social system as the basis for the self-organization of society. Scientific Bulletin of Namangan State University, 1(7), 190-195.
15. Tadjievna, H. L., & Radjabovich, E. T. (2022). Human Spiritual Potential and Popular Culture. European Scholar Journal, 3(1), 25-27.